Exhibit A

Rule 65(B) Certification

UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT FOR THE DISTRICT OF NEVADA	Em CA Em SE 351 Sal Tel		ON DISTRICT COURT
CHRISTOPHER R. HUMPHRIES; J&J CONSULTING SERVICES, INC., an Alaska Corporation; J&J CONSULTING SERVICES, INC., a Nevada Corporation; J AND J PURCHASING LLC; SHANE M. AND ORDERS: (1) FREEZING ASSETS; (2) REQUIRING ACCOUNTINGS; (3) PROHIBITING THE DESTRUCTION OF DOCUMENTS; (4) GRANTING	MALA CHOOSE AN JAMES SE	Plaintiff, vs. MATTHEW WADE BEASLEY; BEASLEY AW GROUP PC; JEFFREY J. JUDD; HRISTOPHER R. HUMPHRIES; J&J ONSULTING SERVICES, INC., an Alaska orporation; J&J CONSULTING ERVICES, INC., a Nevada Corporation; J ND J PURCHASING LLC; SHANE M. AGER; JASON M. JONGEWARD; DENNY EYBERT; and ROLAND TANNER; Defendants, HE JUDD IRREVOCABLE TRUST; PAJ ONSULTING INC; BJ HOLDINGS LLC; TIRLING CONSULTING, L.L.C.; CJ NVESTMENTS, LLC; JL2 NVESTMENTS, LLC; ROCKING HORSE ROPERTIES, LLC; TRIPLE THREAT ASKETBALL, LLC; ACAC LLC; NTHONY MICHAEL ALBERTO, JR.; and IONTY CREW LLC;	RULE 65(B) CERTIFICATION IN SUPPORT OF PLAINTIFF SECURITIES AND EXCHANGE COMMISSION'S EX PARTE APPLICATION FOR ENTRY OF TEMPORARY RESTRAINING ORDER AND ORDERS: (1) FREEZING ASSETS; (2) REQUIRING ACCOUNTINGS; (3) PROHIBITING THE DESTRUCTION OF DOCUMENTS; (4) GRANTING EXPEDITED DISCOVERY; AND (5) ORDER TO SHOW CAUSE RE

 Tracy S. Combs, being sworn on oath, deposes and states that if called upon to do so, she could competently and truthfully testify as follows:

- 1. I am a Trial Counsel employed by the United States Securities and Exchange Commission in the Salt Lake Regional Office, located at 351 S. West Temple Street, Salt Lake City, Utah, 84101. I am a resident of Utah and licensed to practice law in California, New York, and Pennsylvania.
- 2. This certification is based upon specific facts contained in the Commission's Complaint and *Ex Parte* Application the accompanying brief, declarations and exhibits filed contemporaneously therewith. These submissions demonstrate that the Commission has made a *prima facie* showing that Defendants have participated in a securities investment fraud that violates the federal securities laws.
- 3. The Commission maintains that *ex parte* relief is appropriate in this matter. First, proceeding on an *ex parte* basis is warranted to increase the likelihood of freezing—and ultimately recovering—investor money or other assets in Defendants' and Relief Defendants' possession, custody and control. Second, it will help secure, and prevent destruction of, documents or other evidence of Defendants' scheme. If Defendants are given advance notice that the Commission is seeking emergency relief, they may dissipate any remaining assets and destroy documents and evidence.
- 4. Accordingly, for the reasons set forth above and in the Commission's supporting documents filed contemporaneously herewith, immediate and irreparable harm will result to the Commission and to at least one investor in the scheme unless this matter is heard *ex parte*. For these reasons, the undersigned counsel has not attempted to give advance notice to the Defendants or Relief Defendants, which advance notice should be excused in light of circumstances present.

Case 2:22-cv-00612-JCM-EJY Document 2-3 Filed 04/13/22 Page 4 of 4

Respectfully submitted, Dated: April 12, 2022 /s/ Tracy S. Combs Tracy S. Combs (combst@sec.gov) Attorney for Plaintiff
SECURITIES AND EXCHANGE COMMISSION